

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/02/2021 08:47 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: Hello there Why the city of LA council members proposing new laws which empower the city's tenants and against the housing providers in the city. is it because tenants have more votes that the property owners you should be representatives of all city people Please stop play politics Large number of housing provides are mom and bob owners who struggle all their life to make investment to supplement their retirement

Communication from Public

Name: Scott Griest

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 08:44 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: I fear that if passed some tenants (and their attorney's) will abuse the court system filing more cases and attempt to extort monies from landlords. The language in the bill is ripe for abuse as written. Please vote No.

Communication from Public

Name: Mary Louise monahan

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 08:48 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council.....Please do not pass the anti-harassment ordinance for tenants. Small landlords like myself now have such a difficult time providing rental housing for the residents of L.A. with all the regulations, moratorium on evictions even for non-payment of rent, Covid restrictions, Systematic Enforcement rules & demands, over development of apartments, taxes, maintenance etc., & to add one more ordinance that could easily be abused & put many of us out of business would be terrible. All we ask is for fairness & consideration VOTE NO on the proposed Anti-Harassment ordinance by Nithya Raman. Sincerely, Mary Louise Mon

Communication from Public

Name: Pierre R Bohamed

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 09:00 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: As I supported LA by attending meeting before covid and I continue to support Nuri and the City Council, I am and continue to be disappointed at the way you attack rental property owners by inflicting restrictions that affects their income and livelihood to support their family. Large building corporations may not be affected as much but the small 1 to 6 units or even 10 units may have to file for bankruptcy or get foreclosed if unable to make their payments. Stop creating an out for renters as I hear the horror stories as too many are abusing your new policies. You are chasing property owners to other states. You must not own any building large or small cause you would not be creating all these restrictions. Owners have no more rights in this city. They should be able to evict tenants when necessary You need not to move forward with no eviction allowed and people must be able to pay their rent to owners in full or you help them to pay rather than making it worst for owners. I am sad that LA Council continuously come up with ideas to trash the owners and act like all are slumlords. Few are such and you should do your job that you were voted in to do and stop hurting the business owners so you can get votes from constituents that abuse the system. The people of this city need to know that the Mayor and City Council have the backs of Business owners and Property owners as well. Stop this non-sense of keeping property owners from earning a living and I'm sure you wouldn't want us to reduce your income so why are you doing it to businesses. They pay taxes, mortgages, insurance, etc and need income to feed their family just like you. It's time for the City Officials to stop giving in to the cries of people that will not make every effort to better themselves. You have so many programs for them to improve their income and life style without tying the hands of property owners and businesses. They should have a right to refuse service or to provide housing to those that abuse the system. I hope you are listening and understand the harm your actions are doing. A continued supporter of this great cities even with all its fault. Together we can make LA the best city in the country.

Communication from Public

Name: Lynore Escala

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 07:46 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: I am a good landlord with long time tenants. I have only had a few bad tenants but when they are bad they know how to work the system, a system you are trying to rig in their favor. landlords provide a service and try to give people who can not afford to buy a home a place to live, most tenants take care of their homes but some think if they don't own it they can destroy it. This is not the way it should work. We provide service and tenants should enjoy and take care of the living space. If not we should be able to evict them. What landlord would evict a tenant who pays the rent and takes care of the property. That is what the agree we make with our tenants is all about. You are trying to make it a one sided law and this is not the way laws work.

Communication from Public

Name: Justin

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 08:41 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: I am against this legislation and ordinance. Who owns the properties, the tenant or the landlord? This is quite absurd. The city wants to implement such a ordinance, then maybe they should own the properties and pay the landlords. I am absolutely in uniformly against this and I hope this does not pass or see the light of day.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 10:19 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council, I can not believe this type of anti-Landlord propositions and agenda keeps being supported by you or anyone else. There's many of us whom worked hard to be able to buy 1 or 2 additional homes or duplexes as means of investment for our families future ,We all do NOT own thousands of multi units or inherited anything, even if we did . This type of propositions & agenda only in favor of tenants is only damaging our industry and for some of us killing our dreams and the future of our families. This type of proportions become abused by tenants and it's promoters . I'm at this moment living this nightmare , I have a tenant that' has taken advantage of all this and he has NOT pay me rent out for over almost 14 months . I'm about to loose our family property , the loan that we have is NOT a Fannie Mae or Government loan ,so I did Not qualify for any forbearance even if I did The debt would only be accumulated back to our loan with out any benefit. The City program that' was supposed to help Landlord apply or qualify for payment of back due tenants rent ,If Tenants decided NOT to participate (which it was our case) the program was USELESS. You make everything up to the Tenants and Up to them to be abused without regard of who they hurt . Not only maliciously our Tenant did NOt participate in that program but He now is already using and ready to take advantage of the opportunity to Sue the landlord for harassment ,since he already filed a restriction order claiming pricisely that , He's has added a Bunch of lies in his declaration to a point that we have gone there to threat him at gun point ... definitely a BIG lie BUT NOW we have to prove the contrary and Go to court .All we have done is provide then with the useless coronavirus notices and information or forms required by law. This Tennant has abuse All this FREE ride provide by this Tenant favorable agenda and ONLY damaged small property owners like us . PLEASE STOP ?? THIS KIND OF PROPOSITIONS AND AGENDA .. ITS ONLY HURTING US (LANDLORDS) AND ITS DAMAGING THE ECONOMY AND PROMOTING LAZINESS AND ABUSIVE PRACTICES FAVORING THE TENANTS ONLY. I' m asking to make some sense out this Landlords at most times have NO saying or ways to even defend themselves against this PROPOSITIONS that's to me seem to be unconstitutional why would the government have the right to obligate us to provide free

rent to people "supposedly in need of help " IF YOU want to help them I have Nothing against that BUT do it with your Own Resources NOT the private sector resources. Plain and simple this a SO ,So abusive and unconstitutional political agenda that whoever is in favor should be ashamed of themselves.

Communication from Public

Name: Jerry Tal

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 10:30 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: Regarding the Proposed Tenant Anti-Harassment Regulation – City of Los Angeles As a housing provider-that takes care of his tenants -I think that this proposal is a basic Pandering to your constituents-apparently assuming that there are more Tenants who vote than Landlords who vote. Do you wonder sometimes why you as elected politicians get the wrap that you pander? just wandering. I hope you will not enact this populist "SOLUTION" . ty.

Communication from Public

Name: Small Landlord

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 10:37 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: The anti-landlord movement that's been so popular in the last few years is completely out of control and this would make it infinitely worse. Landlords have already suffered through enough in the last year during COVID and many have been supporting multiple tenants who are 100% taking advantage of the COVID tenant protections. We have tenants who openly tell us they are working daily or have had no reduction in income but simultaneously refuse to pay rent because "it benefits the landlord". Some have even refused to apply for rental assistance because it "benefits the landlord"! This ordinance would further embolden many tenants by creating yet another thing they can use as a defense to not have to fulfill their basic contractual obligations. Many many tenants, tenant activists and organizers would HAPPILY bring many false claims against landlords, and would have zero problem lying in support of other tenants' false claims. These one sided laws are completely ridiculous and must stop - DO NOT PASS THIS!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 11:32 AM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: Well this isn't surprising since the city council has been trying to force us housing providers out of business for sometime. But this one takes the cake. I urge the council people to think hard about kids, grandkids, friends etc that they have who 'be been stuck renting next to a sleaze bag that the owner/ manager cannot get out because their hands are tied. Think drug dealers (lots of that); second hand smoke, noise, strange people coming and going, prostitution . Think about it. Evictions are around for a reason .

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 04:02 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: Do not ever pass something like this. All rental housing will just be sold as everything is now worth a fortune anyway. You guys must want everyone living in the streets. If you can't afford California then move.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 04:09 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the tennant harassment claim .

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 12:37 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: HOW DOES ENFORCING THE TERMS OF ONE'S LEASE AGREEMENT, ADDRESSING COUNTLESS LEASE VIOLATIONS, GIVEN THE INCREASED VOLUME OF LOCAL, STATE LEGISLATION WHICH HAS BEEN PASSED THE LAST SEVERAL + YEARS, ACCOMPANIED BY THE COUNTLESS NUMBER OF FORMS WHICH HAVE TO BE COMPLETED, NOTICED SERVED,, AND FILED -- SOME COSTING HUNDREDS OF \$/ACTION, BE CONSIDERED "HARRASSMENT". OWNERS ARE IN THE BUIINESS OF PROVIDNG QUALITY HOUSING TO BOTH QUALIFIED "ASSISTED" (HACLA/SECTION SECTION 8) AND "UNASSISTED" OPEN MARKET APPLICANTS WHO RESIDE IN THE THE CITY OF LOS ANGF;ES AND THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES WHO HAVE AND EXERCISE SIGNIFICANT OVERSIGHT AUTHORITY THROUGH THE MANDATED SYSTEMATIC CODE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM AND THE ANNUAL HACLA/SECTION 8 HOUSING INSPECTIONS OVER THE HOUSING/SERVICES OWNERS PROVIDE. PERHAPS INCREASED FOCUS SHOUL;D BE PLACED ON KNOWN OWNERS/PROPERTIES WHICH HAVE A RECORD OF SUBSTANTIAL CODE VIOLATIONS AND LEGITIMATE RESIDENT COMPLAINTS CONCERNING MAINTENANCE ISSUES WOULD BE A GOOD START. IN MY HUMBLE OPINION, THE QUALITY OF HOUSING I PROIVDE IN THIS MARKET HAS NEVER BEEN BETTER, THE COMPETITION FOR PROSPECTIVE APPLICANTS/ RESIDENTS HAS NEVER BEEN MORE KEEN, GIVEN THE VOLUME OF NEW CONSTRUCTION WHICH IS EXPECTED TO CONTINUE WITH THE LOW INTEREST RATE ENVIRONMENT WE ARE CURRENTLY EXPERIECNEING. RESPECTFULLY, A PROIVDER OF HIGH QUALITY RENTAL HOUSING FOR 30 + YEARS.

Communication from Public

Name: April C Kamar

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 01:41 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: I am apposing this ordinance. I am a hard working good landlord that takes care of my buildings and my tenants needs. I screen my tenants very well to make sure I bring in good quality people to each building so to avoid conflict with other tenants and confrontation in the future. My tenants live very well in the clean, updated, pest free and well maintained buildings they live in. I am tired of the government treating all landlords so badly. I feel this ordinance is not fair to us that are taking care of our happy tenants. each eviction should be handled individually in court on a case by case basis. This is unfair to all landlords. Please do not pass this ordinance. We landlords have been through enough this past 16 months in renters receiving free rent while we continue to pay the upkeep and repair bills without any income! Enough is Enough!! PLEASE!!!

Communication from Public

Name: Neal Collier

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 05:28 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: I find the Proposed Tenant Anti-Harassment Regulation – City of Los Angeles to be another attempt by the city to harm all small landlords who continually have to deal with problem tenants. Rather than protecting both landlords and tenants from unscrupulous characters on both sides, the proposed legislation continues to assume that only tenants are potential victims when many landlords continue to have to put up with onerous restrictions on the use of their property and have very little defense against non-rent paying and destructive tenants. I strongly suggest you vote against this proposal!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/03/2021 05:36 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S13

Comments for Public Posting: Please, don't pass the Tenant Anti-Harassment Regulation. This is another right you are giving to the Tenants. They will use this just to get the money \$10,000.00 to \$15,000.00. What you are doing is killing Landlords. We do not have money to give away, Covid-19 has hurt the Landlords with the Moratorium for now 15 months !!! No eviction & the tenants will not have the money to catch up on back rent. Landlords are already hurting & now you come up with the Tenant-Harassment Regulation another way for Tenants to beat the system. Trust me the Tenants will share how to do so with family & friends. If any Council Members own apartments times have change, tenants have so many rights they feel entitled & they feel Landlord can not doing anything because of their rights. Landlords have rights too. What are you doing for the Landlord, tenant think the can harass the Landlord & the tenants will not have to pay \$10,000.00 to \$15,000.00. We have work hard to save to buy apartments & all you doing is taking our rights away & giving it to the Tenants.